VANCOUVER SENATE
MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 18, 1992

Attendance

Present: President D. W. Strangway (Chair), Chancellor L. R. Peterson, Vice-President D. R. Birch, Mr. S. Alsgard, Mr. D. A. Anderson, Mr. J. A. Banfield, Mr. D. A. C. Boulton, Dean pro tem. M. A. Boyd, Ms. E. Brady, Dr. D. M. Brunette, Professor P. J. Bryden, Mr. B. D. Burgess, Dr. D. G. A. Carter, Professor E. A. Carty, Dr. R. L. Chase, Dr. T. S. Cook, Mr. N. A. Davidson, Dr. K. Dawson, Dr. J. D. Dennison, Mr. W. F. Dick, Mr. D. A. Dyment, Dr. G. W. Eaton, Miss C. J. Forsythe, Mr. M. A. Fuoss, Mr. E. B. Goehring, Dean M. A. Goldberg, Dean J. R. Grace, Dr. S. E. Grace, Dr. R. D. Guy, Dr. S. W. Hamilton, Rev. J. Hanrahan, Dean M. J. Hollenberg, Dr. M. Isaacson, Dr. J. G. T. Kelsey, Mr. O. C. W. Lau, Mr. D. K. Leung, Dr. S. C. Lindstrom, Mr. D. Makiara, Dean M. P. Marchak, Dean B. C. McBride, Dr. H. McDonald, Dean J. H. McNeill, Mr. W. B. McNulty, Dean A. Meisen, Mr. J. A. Olynyk, Dr. L. Paszner, Ms. B. M. Peterson, Dr. C. Price, Dr. P. Resnick, Mr. A. J. Scow, Dr. G. G. E. Scudder, Dr. R. A. Shearer, Dean N. Sheehan, Mr. C. M. Sing, Dr. C. E. Slonecker, Dr. R. C. Tees, Mr. G. A. Thom, Dr. A. Van Seters, Dr. J. M. Varah, Dr. D. A. Wehrung, Dr. R. M. Will, Dr. D. Ll. Williams, Mr. E. C. H. Woo, Ms. N. E. Woo, Dr. W. C. Wright, Jr.

Regrets: Mr. J. D. Adler, Dr. A. F. J. Artibise, Dr. A. P. Autor, Mr. S. W. Baumber, Dean C. S. Binkley, Dr. S. Cherry, Dr. M. M. Klawe, Dr. D. M. Lyster, Dr. J. A. McLean, Dr. A. G. Mitchell, Dr. R. J. Patrick, Professor R. S. Reid, Dean J. F. Richards, Mr. M. M. Ryan, Dean C. L. Smith, Dr. L. de Sobrino, Dr. L. J. Stan, Mr. M. Sugimoto, Dr. W. Uegama, Dr. J. Vanderstoep, Mr. L. Waldman.

Senate membership

i. Declaration of vacancy (University Act, section 35(6))
Mr. Yuri Fulmer, student representative at-large

ii. Replacement
Ms. Elise Brady replaces Mr. Fulmer as student representative at-large
Mr. Jerry A. Olynyk replaces Ms. Bentsen as student representative of the Faculty of Arts

Minutes of the previous meeting

Dr. Tees
Mr. Woo

{ That the minutes of the second regular meeting of Senate for the Session 1992-93, having been circulated, be taken as read and adopted. Carried. }
Business arising from the Minutes

CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS WITH THE A.M.S. (P.10405)

President Strangway referred to a discussion which had taken place at the previous meeting about a Board of Governors issue concerning contractual agreements between the A.M.S. and the University administration. A letter from the University administration to the A.M.S., with a proposed discussion paper attached, was circulated at the meeting for information. A response from the A.M.S. concerning the proposed discussion paper was also circulated at the meeting. Dr. Will stated that he had no objection to there being a discussion providing the discussion was for informational purposes and did not proceed to any motion.

Martin Ertl, President of the A.M.S., was invited to speak. Mr. Ertl explained to Senate that, essentially, the issue that was causing a problem for the A.M.S. was the philosophical position that Dr. K. D. Srivastava expressed to him at a meeting on September 28. He stated that there had been some ongoing problems between the A.M.S. and the University over the last few years, specifically with regard to the Student Union Building and the Aquatic Centre. However, despite some strong disagreements, amicable and productive negotiations had been conducted, until Dr. Srivastava informed the A.M.S. that the University was no longer in favour of, and was philosophically opposed to, any future contractual arrangements between the University and the A.M.S.

Mr. Ertl stated that there were a number of other issues related to the main philosophical issue, namely the matter of paying for the cost of utilities and custodial services for commercial operations run by the A.M.S. in the Student Union Building. He stated that although the University administration argue that it is unfair that the University has to pay those costs, it does have a legal obligation to provide those services. Agreements signed in 1966 and 1968 relate to the Student Union Building and in those agreements the A.M.S. agreed to pay for the capital costs of the areas of
the Student Union Building occupied by the A.M.S. The A.M.S. also agreed to let the University have the use of Brock Hall and the Annex, which had been paid for by the students, as a consideration for the provision by the University of utilities etc., in future years. Mr. Ertl stated that perhaps a more compelling reason why the University should pay for these costs was the dedication, time and effort, shown by students throughout the University's history in building the campus. He said that students, along with alumni and faculty, had taken an extremely active role in building the university, not only in terms of physical buildings but in terms of spirit, programs, services, and commitment to the University. Basically, the A.M.S. was asking the University to reciprocate that commitment to the students. On behalf of the A.M.S. Mr. Ertl thanked Senate for the opportunity of stating the views of the A.M.S. on this issue.

President Strangway invited Acting Vice President Bernard Sheehan to explain the University's position on this matter. Dr. Sheehan explained that the material circulated attempted to address some of the questions relating to the issues raised. He noted that the President's covering letter attached to this material indicated that the University was clearly interested and enthusiastic about negotiating on any issues that the A.M.S. felt were important.

Dr. Sheehan drew Senate's attention to the proposed discussion paper which described some of the background, including answers to a number of specific questions. It was noted on page 1 of the discussion paper that the A.M.S. had asked students to comment on the perception that "The University administration is now effectively telling the students "Thanks for your time and money, but we'll run things without you from now on." Subsequently, the A.M.S. circulated a petition for
presentation to the Board of Governors. Dr. Sheehan stated that the University administration had read the petition and agreed with both the preamble and the three specific points of the petition, and that the institution could be proud of the past contributions of the A.M.S.

However, in order to come to grips with the issue of the proposed expansion of the north side of the Student Union Building, the University had set out the following principles under which it would like to continue its discussions. These principles are to be submitted to the November 19 Board of Governors meeting.

1. The Board of Governors is the senior body in the University for decision making. It assigns to the administration the management of the University's resources and it responds to recommendations from the administration about budgets and property.

2. Within this context the Board approves all facility development and operating budgets and holds the University administration accountable for these facilities and their budgets, both operating and capital.

3. Accountability in the public sector requires that individual managers be accountable ultimately through to the Board (with major input from advisory bodies where this is appropriate).

4. The University must not be expected to provide to commercial services subsidy (hidden or otherwise) from taxpayers, student tuition, or grants from government revenue. This applies to all such operations whether owned by the University (e.g: ancillaries) or by AMS or any other body.

Dr. Sheehan stated that point 4. was the one which had caused the most difficulty in negotiations between the A.M.S. and the University. He said that it was not so much a question of the legality of who should pay for the overhead costs but the fairness of it. Because of current financial constraints the University had to decide whether the cost of operating the Student Union Building was justifiable when the money could be used to hire instructors or to put more money into libraries or student aid.
In asking for clarification of the University's position, Father Hanrahan referred to the philosophical difference with regard to future relations between the A.M.S. and the University administration, and noted that this was not simply a matter of a financial decision.

Dr. Sheehan responded that the University had a pragmatic situation before it and was interested in trying to resolve the pragmatic question. He stated that there was no change in any contractual arrangements between the University and the A.M.S. and assumed that the relationship between the University and A.M.S. would evolve as long as discussions continued in accordance with the principles outlined in the discussion paper.

In response, Mr. Ertl stated that the pragmatic question was secondary to the larger philosophical issue that the A.M.S. was seeking to resolve; the larger issue being what role is the A.M.S. going to take on the campus? Mr. Ertl stated that contractual agreements with the University, such as the lease on the Student Union Building, had allowed the A.M.S. to provide a wide variety of services and programs for students and it would be very hampered if the University refused to enter into any future contractual agreements.

Dr. Shearer noted that one of the University's conditions in agreeing to the north side expansion of the Student Union Building is that the A.M.S. commercial businesses pay their full costs, and that Mr. Ertl had stated that this was a violation of the contractual agreement with the University. He asked if it was the University's position that this condition was not a violation of that contractual agreement.
Dr. Sheehan responded that he did not know whether the University had a legal position on that issue. He stated that there was no legal discussion with respect to the commercial activities that are sub-leased properties but said there was a difference of perception as to whether it was reasonable for the University to subsidize to the extent of $200,000 when the University was faced with a variety of other demands on those funds.

Mr. Ertl stated that as far as the costs for A.M.S. operations were concerned, the 1966 lease clearly mentions A.M.S. commercial operations, and that even though the University might be displeased with the lease today it could not contend that the University did not anticipate A.M.S. commercial activities when they signed the lease.

LIBRARY COMMITTEE (PP.10412-3)

In response to a request made at the previous Senate meeting, Dr. Grace, Chair of the Senate Library Committee, read to Senate the following statement on the situation facing the Library:

As a result of a projected 1 million dollar short-fall in the serials portion of the acquisition budget, the Senate Library Committee is once again discussing the erosion of the Library’s Collections Budget. The University Librarian has informed the Senate Library Committee that the Library is facing a cancellation of serials subscriptions totalling $1 million by the summer of 1993 (a much higher figure than that recorded in our 22 April 1992 Report to Senate) which represents approximately 25% of serials subscriptions. In the November issue of UBC Library News, Dr. Jeffreys, Assistant University Librarian for Collections, outlines background, causes and consequences.

This crisis in our acquisitions budget can be attributed to the following factors:

1. The small increase in the 1991-92 Library Collections budget which did not allow for inflation.
2. A rise in journal subscription rates of up to 30%.
3. The fall in the Canadian dollar.

4. Continued institutional and professional demand for scholarly publications.

5. The increasing trend towards "for-profit" publishing of scholarly journals.

Several ways of addressing this situation are possible and all should be considered. However, the mandate of the Senate Library Committee - "to make rules for the management and conduct of the Library" (University Act 36-L) - directs the Senate Library Committee's attention to the status, use and distribution of the Library Collections budget. Therefore, as Chair of the Committee, I wish to take this opportunity, in response to Dr. Robert Will's question at the October 1992 meeting of Senate, to inform Senate that there is a "crisis" facing serials acquisitions and to remind Senate of the Motion passed at its 22 April 1992 meeting: "that Senate urge the Board of Governors to increase the Library's acquisitions budget, from the current 2.1% of the University's operating budget, by annual increments of .1% for the next two years, beginning in 1992-93, in order to restore the budget to its 1987-88 level of 2.3%.

I want to assure Senate that the Senate Library Committee views this prospective cancellation of serials as a serious and urgent matter. We are meeting regularly to monitor the situation and, more importantly, to formulate guidelines for the "management and conduct" of the Library's response to it. We are discussing the general erosion of the Collections budget, the division of the budget among serials, monographs and electronic material, and the process of consultation that must take place with faculty and staff before serials subscriptions are cancelled.

On this occasion, the Senate Library Committee would like to make the following requests of Senate:

1. That Senate Committees such as the Curriculum Committee and its New Programs Subcommittee and the Budget Committee address the Library's needs,

2. That Senators who have comments or advice regarding this crisis for the Senate Library Committee submit these to the Committee Chair, and

3. That time be set aside at the January or February meeting of Senate for a full discussion of the Library's Collections budget.

In response to a query, Dr. Grace stated that the policy for the division of the acquisitions budget that the Library has been operating under was similar to but not
Chair’s Remarks and Related Questions

quite the same as that recommended by Senate in 1986. The division that has been in practice is two-thirds for serials and one-third for monographs. Dr. Grace noted that a third factor in this situation is the various electronic materials that the Library is and should be acquiring. The Committee had not yet been able to decide just exactly how that tri-part acquisition should be made because sometimes the electronic media is relative to serials and sometimes it is relative to monographs.

Dr. Grace informed Senate the the Library had established four task groups, each of which is looking at a specific aspect of the Library organization and how it does its various tasks, and that members of the Senate Library Committee were meeting individually with these groups as they begin to discuss what can be done in terms of cost recovery as well as the advantages of doing things differently. Dr. Wehrung, Chair of the Senate Budget Committee, informed Senate that the Committee would be discussing the operations of the Library at one of its upcoming meetings.

Chair's Remarks and Related Questions

President Strangway informed Senate that a news release had been put out by the Minister of Advanced Education, Training and Technology to the effect that Ms. Shirley Chan from City Hall and Mr. Thomas Berger would be replacing Mr. A. Johal and Mr. R. I. Nelson as members of the Board of Governors. The President wished to go on record that Mr. Johal and Mr. Nelson had served the University incredibly well and effectively.
In response to a query regarding tuition fees, the President stated that two years ago the Board of Governors had made a decision as to what the three-year tuition increments were going to be but that the University had been asked to roll back the fees last year for one year only. In acceding to that request, the Board of Governors had indicated that the original 1992-93 tuition fee would be the base for 1993-94.

Candidates for Degrees
Lists of candidates for degrees, as approved by the various Faculties and Schools, were made available for inspection by Senate members prior to the meeting.

Dr. Birch,
Dean McBride

That the candidates for degrees and diplomas, as approved by the Faculties and Schools, be granted the degree or diploma for which they were recommended, and that the Registrar, in consultation with the Deans and the Chairman of Senate, make any necessary adjustments.

Carried.

Election of Chancellor
Senate was informed that Mr. Robert H. Lee had been elected Chancellor by acclamation for the three-year term commencing June 1, 1993.

Reports of Committees of Senate
CURRICULUM COMMITTEE (SEE APPENDIX 'B')
Dr. Resnick presented the report in the absence of the Chair of the Committee, Dr. Sobrino. The Committee recommended approval of curriculum proposals from the Faculty of Law.
Reports of Committees of Senate

Dr. Resnick
Professor Bryden } That the proposals of the Faculty of Law be approved.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Dr. Will, Chair of the Committee, presented the following report:

Membership of ad hoc Committee on the Environment for Teaching
At its meeting of October 21, 1992, Senate agreed to the establishment of an ad hoc Committee on the Environment for Teaching.

The Nominating Committee recommends the following membership:

- Mr. J. A. Banfield
- Mr. M. A. Fuoss
- Dr. S. E. Grace
- Dr. S. W. Hamilton
- Dr. J. G. T. Kelsey
- Mr. O. C. W. Lau
- Dr. S. C. Lindstrom
- Dr. G. G. E. Scudder
- Dr. W. Uegama

Vacancies on Senate Committees
The Nominating Committee nominates the following persons to fill vacancies on Senate Committees:

Appeals on Academic Standing
Mr. D. Makihara - replacing Mr. Y. L. Fulmer

Curriculum Committee
Ms. E. Brady - replacing Mr. Y. L. Fulmer Mr. J. A. Olynyk - to fill vacancy

Student Appeals on Academic Discipline
Mr. D. Makihara - replacing Mr. J. Skorpil

Dr. Will
Mr. Thom } That the recommendations of the Nominating Committee be approved.

Carried.
Committee on Student Awards

Dr. Cook, Chair of the Committee, presented the report.

Dr. Cook
Dean McBride

That the new awards (listed in Appendix A) be accepted subject to the approval of the Board of Governors and that letters of thanks be sent to the donors.

Carried.

Faculty of Arts

DEAN'S HONOUR LIST

It was stated in the material circulated that the Faculty of Arts will identify the leading students in each year of its undergraduate programs and place the designation 'Dean's Honour List' on their transcripts. The designation will be awarded to the top 5% of students taking 18 credits or more in each year of the undergraduate degree program.

Dean Marchak
Dr. Tees

That the proposal of the Faculty of Arts to institute a Dean’s Honour List be approved.

Carried.

MEMBERSHIP IN THE FACULTY OF ARTS

A proposal that a representative from the School of Family and Nutritional Sciences be added to the Faculty of Arts membership had been circulated. Dean Marchak explained that the School is now an administrative unit within the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, which is already represented in the Faculty of Arts, but since the School offers a B.A. program in Family Science it is appropriate that the School also be represented.

Dean Marchak
Dr. Tees

That a representative from the School of Family and Nutritional Sciences be added to the Faculty of Arts membership.

Carried.
School of Rehabilitation Medicine

CHANGE IN MEMBERSHIP OF THE SCHOOL COUNCIL

The following proposed change in membership of the School Council had been circulated (change in **bold**):

The Council shall consist of the following members:

a) The Dean of the Faculty of Medicine or the Dean's nominee;

b) The President or the President's nominee;

c) The Director of the School of Rehabilitation Medicine;

d) The Dean of the Faculty of Graduate Studies or the Dean's nominee.

It was stated in the material circulated that the development of a graduate program warrants membership on the Council by the Dean of Graduate Studies or the Dean's nominee. This change would be in keeping with the membership of the Faculties or Councils of other academic units. The other changes reflect the use of gender neutral language in keeping with the policy of the University.

*Dean Hollenberg*

*Dr. Slonecker*

That the proposed change in membership of the School Council be approved.

Carried.

PROPOSAL TO CHANGE THE NAME OF THE SCHOOL OF REHABILITATION MEDICINE TO THE SCHOOL OF REHABILITATION SCIENCES

It was stated in the material circulated that in the thirty years since the School was officially established, the health care disciplines of occupational therapy and physiotherapy have changed markedly. What began as an academic unit, housing combined diploma programs whose graduates most often worked under the supervision of the physician, has now evolved into distinct and independent health care professions. The members of these professions frequently practice autonomously and while remaining committed to the benefits of interdisciplinary practice, they view their role in care as that of independent team members. While occupational therapists and
physiotherapists often work with physicians they are not physicians, and the nature of their practice is not medical in that the practice of medicine, by statute, is reserved for physicians and surgeons. In contemporary usage, the terms 'medical' and 'medicine' have come to be associated with those holding the degree Doctor of Medicine or its equivalent. Use of the term 'medicine' in the School's name is thus technically inaccurate and misleading.

Further, there exists within the Faculty of Medicine a Division of the Department of Medicine whose members concern themselves with physical medicine and rehabilitation. This practice specialty is known as Physiatry, and the unit is known as the Division of Rehabilitation Medicine. While faculty in the School share common interests with this Division, the two units are and always have been distinct, and the common features of their name has created confusion over the years. Often, the general public does not appreciate this distinction, and difficulties with misdirected mail and telephone communications have been commonplace. Both units are in agreement that the current name of the School is misleading and inaccurate, and should be changed.

Today occupational therapy and physical therapy are influenced more than ever before by research and a dedication to practice based on scientific principles. During the past three decades, each of these professions has made substantial commitments to scholarship and research, and numerous graduate programs have evolved at the magistral and doctoral levels. Physical therapy is now basing its practice substantially on movement science, while occupational therapy practice is evolving from occupation science. Both professions continue to derive and apply information from the life
sciences and humanities. Physical therapy and occupational therapy, along with vocational rehabilitation, rehabilitation nursing, and a few other professions, are often referred to collectively as rehabilitation sciences. There are several academic units in North America that use this term as a descriptor when two or more of the rehabilitation professions are housed in the same administrative unit.

In summary, since it is misleading to refer to the School as a medical enterprise, and since the School's mission is decidedly one of preparation and knowledge development in the area of health sciences/rehabilitation rather than in medicine, it seems appropriate at this time to change the name of the School to that proposed. If this name change is approved, the recently approved M.Sc. degree in Rehabilitation Medicine would be retitled to M.Sc. in Rehabilitation Sciences.

\[
\text{Dean Hollenberg} \\
\text{Dean Goldberg}
\]

\[
\text{That the proposal to change the name of the School of Rehabilitation Medicine to the School of Rehabilitation Sciences be approved.}
\]

Carried.

Report on Enrolment 1992-93

The report on enrolment for 1992-93 was circulated for information.

Student Exchanges and Education Abroad Programs

A report on student exchanges and Education Abroad Programs had been circulated for information. In response to a query by Dean Marchak, Dr. Birch stated that the document related to Education Abroad Programs and not to programs like the Ritsumeikan University program. He explained that the guidelines constituted a basis on which Senate approves the institutions with which the University will establish a relationship with Education Abroad Programs.
The Registrar informed Senate that he chairs a Committee on Education Abroad Program. He explained that there are two different agreements with Ritsumeikan University, one being an Education Abroad agreement under which one or two students per year are exchanged. There is also a separate agreement under which approximately 100 students come to UBC, which is not an Education Abroad Program agreement.

Dr. Tees stated that the Academic Policy Committee presented to Senate in April 1990 the Guidelines for Education Abroad Programs, outlined in Appendix 4 of the document circulated, and that it did call for faculty participation through a small committee to be chaired by the Registrar. He did not, however, understand the status of Appendix 2 "UBC Principles for Negotiating with International Universities" which had not been seen by the Academic Policy Committee at any time during the Committee's discussions on Education Abroad Programs.

After further discussion Dr. Birch stated that Appendix 2 "UBC Principles for Negotiating with International Universities" should not have been included in the material circulated.

Dean Marchak suggested that since the information in Appendix 2 had not been agreed to by Senate, a statement regarding the principles for negotiating with international universities ought to be brought to Senate for discussion.

In response to a query by Ms. Brady regarding the exchange program with the University of California, the Registrar explained that the agreement that UBC has with the University of California requires that an equal number of students be exchanged. However, in the first three years of the program, the number of students going from UBC to the University of California exceeded by a considerable number those coming from
California to UBC. Since then there has been a flow of students from California to UBC which is about right for the ongoing health of the program but it is insufficient to redress the earlier imbalance of the first three years when there were very few student from the University of California. The University of California has therefore refused to take any students this year from UBC and it will probably take until the end of next year to get the numbers back into balance.

**Faculty of Science**

**CHANGE IN NAME OF THE CHAIR IN FISHERIES OCEANOGRAPHY TO CHAIR IN THE OCEAN ENVIRONMENT AND ITS LIVING RESOURCES**

It was stated in the material circulated that the proposed name change will reflect a broader interest than just fisheries, and also that it will attract a broader range of potential donors. It was noted that the change was supported by faculty members in the field and by the University’s advisors in fundraising for this particular project. Funding for the Chair has reached almost $840,000, half from gifts and half from provincial matching funds.

*Dr. Birch*

*Dean McBride*

\{ That the proposal to change the name of the Chair in Fisheries Oceanography to Chair in The Ocean Environment and its Living Resources be approved. \}

Carried.

**Faculty of Medicine**

**CHANGE IN DEPARTMENTAL NAMES**

A proposal to change the name of the Department of Biochemistry to the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and to change the name of the Department of Anaesthesiaology to the Department of Anaesthesia, had been circulated.
It was explained in the material circulated that the inclusion of "Molecular Biology" with "Biochemistry" in the departmental name better reflects the nature of the disciplines represented in the research and teaching programs of the Department. After appropriate consultations, the Dean of Science wrote to assure the Dean of Medicine that the Faculty of Science would not object to changing the name of the Department of Biochemistry to the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.

It was also explained that in April of this year, the head of the Department of Anæsthesiology pointed out that in Canadian English the appropriate departmental name should be the Department of Anæsthesia and not the Department of Anæsthesiology, and requested that the title be changed.

Dean Hollenberg
Dr. Slonecker

That the proposal to change the name of the Department of Biochemistry to the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and to change the name of the Department of Anæsthesiology to the Department of Anæsthesia be approved.

Carried.

Faculty of Forestry

PROPOSAL TO CHANGE THE NAME OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HARVESTING AND WOOD SCIENCE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF WOOD SCIENCE

Following reviews of the Department of Forest Resources Management and the Department of Harvesting and Wood Science, the Dean of the Faculty of Forestry recommended that six faculty members who deal with harvesting and forest operations be transferred to the Department of Forest Resources management. Both Departments and the faculty members themselves support the reorganization. In response to this
reorganization, the remaining faculty members in Harvesting and Wood Science met and proposed that the name of the department be changed to the Department of Wood Science. This proposal was subsequently approved by the Faculty.

Dr. Birch  
Dr. Guy  
\{ That the proposal to change the name of the Department of Harvesting and Wood Science to the Department of Wood Science be approved. \  

**Faculty status for the UBC School of Nursing**

Professor Carty presented the proposal recommending that the School of Nursing be granted Faculty status. In speaking briefly to the background information circulated, Professor Carty stated that in 1919 Nursing was the first health profession at UBC. It was made a Department along with several engineering departments in the Faculty of Applied Science. In the early 1950's the Department became a School within the Faculty of Applied Science and has continued in that position in a positive and effective way. One of the reasons for proposing a change at this time is that Dr. Willman is retiring after fifteen years as the Director of the School of Nursing, and as the search begins for her replacement the School has been examining its accomplishments to date and its goals for the future. The proposal is one of the outcomes of that examination.

Over the past few years the School has grown to nearly 1,000 students in both the undergraduate and graduate programs. It is the only School that offers a full range of programs for the largest group of health professionals in the province and it faces many issues as the health care system is changing. The School has been effective in its relationship with the community and its relationship with the University through its
Director, but it can be difficult when the Dean of Applied Science is asked to speak on behalf of the School. With respect to this representation it is important to know that under the current arrangement a nurse could never be Dean of the Faculty of Applied Science. In order that the School might speak more effectively for itself in the wider community as well as in the University community, the School is asking that Senate approve this proposal for Faculty status which would provide the opportunity to have a nurse lead its own discipline.

Professor Carty
Dean Meisen

That the recommendation that the School of Nursing be granted Faculty status be approved.

Dean Meisen stated that it was with a mixed sense of regret and pride that he seconded the motion. Dean Meisen said he would regret seeing the School of Nursing leave the Faculty of Applied Science as it had been a valuable contributor to the administrative affairs of the Faculty, particularly in connection with tenure and promotion considerations where its able Directors had provided valuable insights into certain fields of professional practice. On the other hand he said that he was very proud to see the School of Nursing being considered for Faculty status. He noted that the School was a well recognized leader in nursing research and said that it would come as no surprise to anyone within the Canadian nursing community to see the School of Nursing become a Faculty. Dean Meisen stated that the University should be very proud of the role which the School of Nursing has played since the founding of the University. He felt that giving Faculty status to the School would enhance the visibility of UBC's nursing program and would be well received outside the University.

Dr. Will noted that there were several other schools on campus that might also want Faculty status, and therefore there should be a set of criteria that Senate could consider
Faculty status for the UBC School of Nursing

relevant to moving a school to the status of a Faculty. On examining the proposal, Dr. Will stated that he could not find anything in it that was particular to Nursing that would allow Senate to say yes to Nursing but later to say no to another School. In his view, the reasons listed in the proposal, such as size and the offering of graduate programs, could apply to any School. Dr. Will suggested that there probably should be a committee to look into the organization of all Schools, in accordance with the mandate of the University Act, before Senate considers the request of the School of Nursing.

Dr. Resnick stated that the crucial issue as far as he was concerned was the governance of the institution. The granting of Faculty status to any unit would automatically increase representation on the Senate and the Dean would automatically serve on the Senior Appointments Committee. Senate should therefore ask itself, in terms of the very disproportionate size of Faculties, what the implications would be if Nursing were to constitute itself as a Faculty. The implications of this in the Health Sciences would be that there would be four Faculties, four Deans, and eight members on the Senate, while large Faculties like the Arts and Science have only one Dean and two faculty members. It would also mean that on the Senior Appointments Committee the Health Sciences would have four voices where Arts and Science have only one. Dr. Resnick also suggested that since there are natural divisions within each of the large Faculties, opening the door to expansion along these lines could lead to very strong arguments for splintering these Faculties into two or three pieces. He thought that the proposal needed a lot more careful attention and discussion than it was going to get in the course of this meeting.

Dr. Dennison commented on some of the broader issues, stating that a Faculty is a very significant unit in the University. He said that it does, of course, have budgetary independence, and it does present another Dean on the Committee of Deans. Dr. Dennison
noted that the last time a Faculty was established was the Faculty of Dentistry in 1964 so it was difficult to say what procedures were followed at that time. However, it was known that a feasibility study had been done in 1956 and that there were several years of discussion regarding budgetary implications before the Faculty was established.

Dr. Dennison also cited the Faculties of Education and Commerce and Business Administration which had come from units within the University, and pointed out that in each case there were several years of evolution to becoming a Faculty as well as a great deal of discussion. Dr. Dennison felt that there should be a procedure that one goes through to look at other options before one decides to create a Faculty. In conclusion, he stated that it may well be that the School of Nursing should become a Faculty but that a process for establishing Faculties should be developed before a decision is made on the proposal from the School of Nursing.

Dr. Tees agreed that a committee should look at this proposal in terms of university governance and organization with the terms of reference focussing clearly on criteria used to evaluate and to make decisions concerning Faculties and Schools.

Dr. Birch said that it was appropriate that members of Senate should be convinced of the merits of the proposal before making a commitment. Dr. Birch stated that he was satisfied on the merits of this matter, and noted that the criteria for Schools which had been established by Senate were essentially the criteria for Faculties in that there are independent degree programs which by and large differentiates a School from a Department. As far as the evolution of the School was concerned, Dr. Birch noted that the School of Nursing had had 73 years of evolution which was considerably more than any of the other schools on campus. Dr. Birch agreed that it was difficult to use size as a criterion but noted that the operating budget within the School of Nursing is significantly larger than that of any other school and larger than at least one Faculty. He also noted that the effective budget,
including that of the affiliated Vancouver Hospital School of Nursing, takes it beyond the Faculties of Dentistry, Forestry and Law in scale, and that its student enrolment is larger than those four units and the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences. Dr. Birch suggested that if members of Senate were not satisfied, it would be appropriate to refer the matter to the Senate Academic Policy Committee to recommend the process by which this matter be considered. Dr. Williams stated that guidelines could be established which various entities would appear to fit, and therefore there would be no reason not to establish something. He said that he was more concerned with establishing the optimum Faculty for UBC, not necessarily whether the School of Nursing fits the criteria for a Faculty. He suggested that the question to be addressed was what would be the best model for UBC. Perhaps the University should be considering whether units such as the newly named School of Rehabilitation Sciences would naturally fit together with the School of Nursing in a new Faculty rather than considering whether the School of Nursing by itself satisfies the criteria to be a Faculty.

\[ \text{Dr. Shearer} \quad \text{Dean Boyd} \] \quad \text{That this matter be referred to the Senate Academic Policy Committee to advise Senate on:}

\begin{itemize}
  \item[a)] \textit{how this matter should be considered and if it should be referred to another committee, and}
  \item[b)] \textit{the terms of reference of that committee.}
\end{itemize}

After further discussion, the motion was put and carried.

\section*{UBC Degree Programs offered in collaboration with University College of the Cariboo and Okanagan University College}

A report on the status of UBC degree programs with the University colleges had been circulated for information. Highlights of the report are listed below:

1. Enrolment has increased each year and now includes 627 students in the third and fourth years of UBC's B.A. and B.Sc. programs at the two University Colleges. The inclusion of 85 elementary teacher education students at the University College of the Cariboo takes the total to 712.
2. The presence of degree completion opportunities has had a dramatic effect on first and second year enrolment in academic (university transfer) programs. These now total almost 5,500 students in the two University Colleges.

3. In four years we have progressed from general B.A. and B.Sc. programs through a reasonable range of UBC majors to distinctive majors, appropriate to the region, and now under consideration for approval.

4. The University Colleges have had no difficulty providing (and recruiting) a large number of highly qualified instructors in Arts and Science. Staffing has been much more difficult in Education, a field not previously represented in the Colleges.

5. The Ministry of Advanced Education, Training and Technology has established advisory committees to deal with definition of a mandate for the University Colleges and with issues of governance. Resolution of these and other matters are clearly prerequisite to University College autonomy in the granting of baccalaureate degrees. (The agreements governing UBC's joint ventures with the University College anticipated autonomous degree granting by those institutions at some point between five and ten years from initial implementation of the program.)

Report of the Tributes Committee (in camera)

HONORARY DEGREES

Dr. Dennison, Chair of the Committee, presented the report recommending that the following be invited to accept honorary degrees at the 1993 congregation ceremonies:

- Nesta Mary Ashworth - Vice-President, TEAL B.C. and Member, National Advisory Committee, China-CIDA Project
- Charles B. Bourne - Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada, awarded the John E. Read Medal in International Law, former UBC professor and advisor to the UBC President
- Jean Sutherland Boggs - Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada, officer of the Order of Canada, former Director of Canada's National Gallery
- Noam Chomsky - Ferrari P. Ward Professor of Linguistics, M.I.T., John Locke Lecturer, Oxford, Research Fellow, Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton
- Minnie Croft - Member of the Royal Family of the Skedans-Haida Nation, Gold Feather Award for outstanding work in First Nations communities
- George Henry Erasmus - Order of Canada, Honorary Doctorate of Laws, Queens University, co-chair of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples
- Maureen Forrester - Contralto, Teacher and Consultant, Order of Canada and many national and international awards for singing
- L. Yves Fortier, Q.C. - Officer, Order of Canada, Canada's Ambassador and permanent representative to the United Nations, New York
• **William Carleton Gibson** - Former UBC Professor and Head, Department of the History of Medicine and Science, former member of UBC Senate, Chair of the Universities Council of B.C., Chancellor, University of Victoria

• **Norman B. Keevil** - Mining Man of the Year, Businessman of the Year, Distinguished Service Award, key leadership roles in mining

• **Pyong Hwoi Koo** - The Order of Industrial Service Merit Gold Tower, International President and Korean Committee Chair of the Pacific Basin Economic Council

• **Thurgood Marshall** - Former Solicitor General of the United States and Associate Justice, United States Supreme Court

• **Gerard Pelletier** - Journalist, labour and social activist, politician and diplomat

• **Oscar Peterson** - Canadian musician, Companion of the Order of Canada, Chevalier in the Order of Quebec, twelve citations as Jazz Pianist of the Year, Grammy awards, and ten Honorary doctorate degrees from Canadian universities

• **Carl R. Woese** - Professor of Biology, Biophysics and Microbiology, University of Illinois, prominent researcher in molecular approaches to the study of analysis and evolution

• **Peter E. Wrist** - President and Chief Executive Officer, Pulp and Paper Research Institute of Canada
Adjournment

Dr. Dennison  }  That the recommendations of the Tributes Committee concerning honorary degrees be approved.
Dean McBride

Dr. Cook  }  That the recommendations be considered seriatim.
Dr. Resnick

Lost.

The motion to approve the recommendations of the Committee was put and carried.

EMERITUS STATUS

Dr. Dennison reported that there were two more names to add to the list of recommendations for emeritus status presented at previous meetings:

- Mrs. Lois M. Bewley - Professor Emerita of Library, Archival and Information Studies
- Dr. Gordon Westgate - Clinical Associate Professor Emeritus of Surgery

Dr. Dennison  }  That the recommendations of the Tributes Committee concerning emeritus status be approved.
Dean Marchak

Carried.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 9.45 p.m.

Next Meeting

The next regular meeting of Senate will be held on Wednesday, December 16, 1992.
Appendix A

NEW AWARDS RECOMMENDED TO SENATE

Phil SINANAN Memorial Bursary for Creative Writing - An $800 bursary has been endowed by family and friends in memory of Dr. Phil Sinanan. The bursary is offered to a graduate or undergraduate student in the Department of Creative Writing. (Available 1992/93 Winter Session.)

STIKEMAN Elliot and Carswell Scholarship in Tax Law - A $1,000 scholarship is offered by Stikeman Elliot, a Canadian law firm, and Carswell, a Canadian publisher. The award is made to an outstanding student in tax law on the recommendation of the Faculty of Law. (Available 1992/93 Winter Session.)

Nora May VINT Bursary - A $900 bursary has been endowed through the estate of Nora May Vint. The award is offered to a student in Medicine. (Available 1992/93 Winter Session.)

GLAXO Canada Doctor of Pharmacy Fellowship - A fellowship of $25,000 disbursed over a 20 month period is offered by Glaxo Canada Inc. to a student entering the Doctor of Pharmacy (Pharm.D.) program. The candidate chosen will demonstrate the potential for contribution to the practice of clinical pharmacy. The award is made on the recommendation of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences in consultation with the Faculty of Graduate Studies. (Available 1992/93 Winter Session.)
Appendix B

COURSE AND CURRICULUM PROPOSALS

FACULTY OF LAW

New courses
LAW 493 (2-4)d Directed Research (enrolment restricted)
LAW 494 (2-4)d Directed Research (enrolment restricted)
LAW 399 (2) Law Review Credit

Changes

- All courses numbered 412 - 492 carrying a unit value of (2-3) be altered to (2-4) and those carrying a unit value of (3) be altered to (4).
- That in courses 495 and 496 the words "A student will receive credit for no more than two projects of Directed Research" be deleted.
- Revised statement (p.177 1991-92 Calendar): "A student must undertake, in either second or third year, at least one independent research project and submit a substantial paper (or series of papers) embodying the results of this research. This obligation usually will be satisfied within the seminar program but students may fulfil this obligation by completing a project, for at least four credits, under 493, 494, 495 or 496 Directed Research."