Vancouver Senate

MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 17, 2010

Attendance

Present: Mr. S. Haffey (Vice-Chair of Senate, Meeting Chair), Mr. J. Ridge (Secretary), Dr. R. Anstee, Mr. K. Arciaga, Dean G. Averill, Dr. K. Baimbridge, Dean M. A. Bobinski, Dr. J. Brander, Dr. B. Cairns, Ms. B. Craig, Mr. G. Dew, Ms. A. Dulan, Dr. W. Dunford, Mr. A. C. Embree, Dr. D. Farrar (Provost & Vice-President, Academic), Rev. Dr. S. Farris, Dr. D. Fielding, Ms. M. Friesen, Mr. C. Gorm, Mr. A. J. H. Hajian, Dr. W. Hall, Dr. P. G. Harrison, Mr. E. Hilmer, Dean M. Isman, Dr. A. Ivanov, Mr. D. H. Kim, Ms. A. Koehn, Dr. B. S. Lalli, Dr. B. Larson, Dr. D. Lehman, Dr. P. Loewen, Dr. P. L Marshall, Dr. W. McKee, Mr. W. McNulty, Mr. J. Mertens, Mr. C. Meyers, Mr. M. Murray, Principal L. Nasmith, Dr. G. Öberg, Dr. C. Orvig, Dr. K. Patterson, Dean S. Peacock, Mr. B. Perrin, Dr. J. Plessis, Mr. S. Rasmussen, Mr. J. Rebane, Dr. A. Riseman, Dr. T. Ross, Mr. J. Scafe, Dr. S. Singh, Ms. R. Sneath, Dr. R. Sparks, Dr. J. Stapleton, Dr. B. Stelck, Dr. S. Thorne, Dr. M. Vessey, Ms. L. Watt, Dr. R. Windsor-Liscombe, Mr. J. Yang, Dr. R. A. Yaworsky.

Guests: Mr. M. Bluhm, Mr. I. Burgess, Ms. S. Crampton, Mr. A. Dhesi, Dr. A. Kindler, Dr. N. Knight, Ms. S. Nakata, Mr. P. Ouillet, Mr. A. Parr, Dr. W. Pue.

Regrets: Dean T. Aboulnasar, Ms. K. Aminoltejari, Principal M. Burgess, Ms. C. Colombe, Dean B. Evans, Mr. R. Gardiner, Mr. F. Grajales, Dean J. Innes, Dr. S. B. Knight, Mr. D. Leung, Mr. B. MacDougall, Ms. S. Morgan-Silvester (Chancellor), Dean D. Muzyka, Ms. I. Parent, Dr. L. Rucker, Ms. E. Segal, Dean pro tem J. Shapiro, Dean C. Shuler, Dean R. Sindelar, Dean G. Stuart, Mr. D. Thakrar, Prof. S. J. Toope (President and Chair), Dr. M. Upadhyaya, Mr. D. Verma, Dr. R. Wilson.

Recording Secretary: Ms. L. M. Collins.

Call to Order

In the absence of the President, Vice-Chair Mr. Haffey called to order the third regular meeting of the 2010/2011 academic year.
Senate Membership
The Secretary announced the recent election of two Student Senators:

Mr. Matt Murray (Faculty of Forestry); and
Ms. Robyn Sneath (Faculty of Education).

Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Dr. Windsor-Liscombe
Dr. McKee

That the minutes of the meeting of September 15, 2010 be adopted as circulated.

Carried.

Candidates for Degrees and Diplomas

Dr. Loewen
Mr. Mertens

That the candidates for degrees and diplomas, as recommended by the Faculties, be granted the degree or diploma for which they were recommended, effective November 2010, and that a committee comprised of the Registrar, the appropriate dean, and the Chair of the Vancouver Senate be empowered to make any necessary adjustments.

Carried by the required two-thirds majority.

Financial Statements 2009/2010 and Budget Outlook

The assembly recognized guest presenters Mr. Pierre Ouillet, Vice-President, Finance, Resources & Operations, and Mr. Ian Burgess, Comptroller.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 2009/2010

Pursuant to Section 32(2) of the University Act, the Vice-President, Finance, Resources and Operations had submitted to the Secretary the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ending 31 March 2010. The Statements were available at
www.finance.ubc.ca under ‘Financial Reports’. Mr. Burgess gave an overview of the Statements, summarized as follows:

- March 31, 2010 Consolidated Financial Statements had been approved by UBC’s Board of Governors on June 3, 2010. KPMG had returned an Unqualified Audit Opinion.
- Total revenue: $1,964 m, up 33 percent over the previous year.
- Sources of total revenue included: 37 percent from the Province of British Columbia, 18 percent from student fees, and 12 percent from the Government of Canada.
- Total assets: $3,898 m, up seven percent.
- Endowment market value: $969 m, up 12 percent over the previous year, with returns in the amount of 13.9 percent in 2009/2010.
- Total expenses: $1,829 m.
- UBC’s long-term debt ratings: Standard & Poor AA+, Moody’s Investors Service Aa1.

**DISCUSSION**

In response to a question from Mr. Gorman, Mr. Burgess stated that the majority of the Financial Statements (and his presentation) referred to the University system rather than to the Vancouver campus.

**BUDGET OUTLOOK**

Dr. Farrar delivered a report on the 2011/2012 budget model and process, summarized as follows:

A new budget model had been implemented for 2010/2011, with the goal of producing a transparent and sustainable budget. 2010/2011 actuals to date were aligned with the first budget to be produced under this model. Faculty and administrative-unit reviews had been linked to the budget process.

No across-the-board cuts would be required to produce a balanced operating budget for 2011/2012. Meeting obligations on salary progression (particularly with fewer people
Budget Outlook, continued

retiring) and building operating costs within the current budget would be challenging. Provincial government support remained strong, with no cuts expected to the operating grant and strong support evident for several new academic buildings. The near-elimination of the Annual Capital Allowance added budgetary pressure.

Endowment spend-rate reductions had been managed and the spend rate was to remain at 3.5 percent.

Unlike many other institutions, UBC had no pension liability related to the 2008 financial crisis due to pension-plan structures for faculty and staff.

Enrolment management remained critical to managing the budget. For the Vancouver campus:

- Undergraduate enrolment would be reduced slightly to meet the government-funded target, with national enrolment planned to grow to 20 percent of total annual intake over the following years.
- Target international undergraduate enrolment would be increased to 15 percent of the total undergraduate population.
- Transfer-student enrolment targets would be gradually decreased.
- The ultimate target for graduate student enrolment was 25 percent of the student population, although this goal could not be reached without additional government funding.

Three-year and 10-year budget plans had been developed to maximize budgetary stability and manage areas of stress, e.g., building operating costs, need for IT systems renewal. Major risks for the University included provincial government funding stability, international student enrolment numbers, the degree to which the University was permitted to incur debt to fund student housing and other projects, and factors related to the implementation of the Campus Plan.
DISCUSSION

Mr. Hajian asked whether recruiting additional international graduate students would help increase revenue. Dr. Farrar explained that, although international students were desirable for other reasons, there was no revenue to be gained in this way due to the provincial student funding model.

In response to a question from Mr. Rebane, there was discussion about management of the University endowment. Dr. Farrar and Mr. Ouillet stated that the Board of Governors, working with the wholly owned subsidiary UBC Investment Management Trust (IMANT), set a risk profile for investments. The goal was to earn 6.5 percent per year to cover the spend rate, management costs, and inflationary costs. Mr. Ouillet noted the need to balance protecting the endowment against market losses while also growing the university’s investments.

In response to a question from Mr. Rasmussen about the effect of salary increases on the budget, Dr. Farrar noted that the provincial government had effectively frozen salary increases for two consecutive years. Mr. Burgess indicated that approximately one percent was built into the budget for salary increases after the second year.

Dr. Singh asked about the relative stability of provincial funding commitments in the current fiscal climate. Dr. Farrar stated that the University had entered into a three-year agreement with the provincial government. Although governments had changed these plans in the past, recent indications were relatively positive. Because approximately 60 percent of the University’s operating budget was derived from provincial funding, however, this was acknowledged as the University’s single most significant risk.
**Academic Building Needs Committee**

Committee Chair Dr. Sparks presented the reports.

**REPORT ON COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES**

The Committee had circulated for information a report on Committee activities for the 2009/2010 year, including an overview of the Committee’s role, its 2009/2010 work plan, and a list of meetings. The following is an excerpt from the report:

**Overview**

For 2009/2010, the Committee agreed to follow the priorities set out during the first year of its triennium (May 13, 2009 Oral Report to Senate), namely to fulfill its advisory role on the Property and Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), but also to interpret its terms of reference broadly, and to identify and engage academic issues in campus planning and development through consultation with faculty and professional staff, as well as with the administration and peer committees on the Board of Governors and Okanagan Senate.

... 

**Comments**

- One of the questions the Committee has wrestled with during its first two years is how to contribute to effective consultation and advisement in University facility and land use without overstepping its terms of reference as a policy and governance body. The dual concerns of campus sustainability and accessibility have proven a useful context in which to work through these issues as well as to contribute to positive change.

- In addition to participating as voting members on PPAC, the Committee has elected to fulfill its consultative and advisory roles through consultations with planning groups on campus, as noted above. During 2009/2010, the Committee also consulted with Andrew Irvine, Chair, BOG Property and Planning Committee and Daniel Keyes, Chair, UBC Okanagan Senate Academic Building and Resources Committee, about the potential for a system-wide policy on accessibility.

- A priority for the Committee in the coming year will be to re-examine, in consultation with professional staff, the facility and land use planning processes at UBC with an eye to enhancing timely consultation and information flows and better use of faculty members and students as resources both within and outside of SABNC and PPAC.
• The Committee will seek to encourage better and more timely information flows about building projects for Senate as well, potentially, as for the broader campus community.

• In the interim, this report constitutes a first step in making the activities of SABNC and PPAC more transparent to Senate. SABNC reports in the recent past were given orally which did not provide a sufficient level of detail to identify individual consultations and building projects.

• The Committee would be pleased to receive comments and suggestions.

DISCUSSION

Dr. Windsor-Liscombe was supportive of the Committee taking a proactive approach toward long-term academic planning. He emphasized the need for a conduit for thinking about fundamental academic space needs for future. Dr. Sparks agreed, noting that extensive consultation had been conducted on the Campus Plan, and that the Committee had provided input. Dr. Farrar confirmed that long-term planning was underway and noted that a 20-year capital plan had recently presented to the Committee of Deans.

Dr. Windsor-Liscombe asked whether a heritage conservation plan had been established. In particular, he noted the need for clear criteria for heritage designation. The meeting recognized Ms. Knight, who responded that the Campus Plan included a heritage plan.

STUDENT HOUSING DEMAND SURVEY AND CAMPUS HUBS

At the request of Dr. Sparks, the assembly recognized guest presenters Ms. Nancy Knight, Associate Vice-President, Campus & Community Planning and Mr. Andrew Parr, Managing Director, Student Housing and Hospitality Services.

The following is a summary of their presentation:

The purpose of the Student Housing Demand study was to determine the need/demand for future on-campus student housing, to address requests from UBC student societies for more research, and to input the results and findings into the Vancouver Campus Plan. The
study was conducted by independent consultants McClanaghan & Associates from February to December 2009. 5684 on- and off-campus students had participated.

Key findings were as follows:

• Students reap strong academic rewards from living on campus.
• Housing need is growing in the city of Vancouver.
• Affordability of the Vancouver housing market is a key concern.
• Transportation options are an important housing choice driver.
• Shops and services are important to both on- and off-campus students.
• High degree of satisfaction with on-campus housing.
• UBC should plan for more student housing over the next 20 years.

In order to meet the demand, the University planned to increase housing capacity by 50 percent by 2030, and to provide a greater variety of housing. 2500 new student beds would be provided over the following five years, which would result in on-campus housing capacity for 36 percent of the student body.

The “Hub Concept” involved creating five multi-purpose, vibrant precincts around the Vancouver campus. Year-round contracts would be required, and the focus was on upper-year undergraduate students, graduate students, and international students. Mr. Parr gave a brief overview of each of the planned Ponderosa, Brock, Old Armoury, Orchard, and Health Sciences hubs.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Dew noted that students living on campus reported an improved experience, and asked about data on academic performance for this same group. Dr. Farrar stated that data from other universities showed a positive correlation between academic performance and living in on-campus housing, but also noted challenge of determining exact causality.
In response to a question from Mr. Mertens about demand for year-round housing in the hub precincts, Mr. Parr noted that, while some students would undoubtedly continue to prefer eight-month housing contracts, year-round occupancy was important to both creating vibrancy on campus during the summer months and to meet mortgage obligations on the new properties. Dr. Farrar suggested that enhanced academic programming and increased employment opportunities during the summer would attract more students to stay on campus year-round.

In response to a question from the Rev. Dr. Farris, Mr. Parr provided additional detail about the planned footprint for the hubs. Mr. Perrin expressed concern that year-round student housing contracts in hubs would limit opportunities for summer employment, internship, or exchange experiences for students. Referring to the proposed Brock Hub, he was concerned about additional density and changes to the landscape. Mr. Parr stated that, while planning formulae were based on a certain level of year-round occupancy, some decrease in occupancy over the summer months was expected. Ms. Knight stated that there would be opportunities to consult on individual hub projects as planning progressed. She stated that concentrating activity on a site would have the effect of creating small neighbourhoods with childcare and fitness facilities and informal social space. She expressed the opinion that the University would not achieve all of its objectives while maintaining low-density buildings. Dean Bobinski noted many beneficial aspects of the hubs, and was pleased to hear that consultation opportunities would be forthcoming.

Dr. Vessey asked about industry standards for combining academic and high-rise living. Dr. Farrar drew attention to UBC’s two graduate residential colleges, and stated that undergraduate colleges had been explored at other institutions. Mr. Parr expressed support for exploring residential learning communities and thematic residences.
Dr. Ross noted that, even with an expansion to the Totem Park residence, there would still be a shortage of space for first-year students. Mr. Parr agreed, noting that the goal was to be able to offer an unconditional housing guarantee for first-year students, with most of those students to be accommodated in Totem Park and Place Vanier residences.

In response to a question from Mr. Hajian, Mr. Parr noted that (pending Board of Governors approval) a new childcare facility was planned for the University Services Building. In addition, at least 24 childcare spaces were planned for each hub.

In response to a question about a demographic shift potentially leading to lower future enrolments, Dr. Farrar explained that UBC was not expected to be affected to the same extent as institutions in other provinces.

**Admissions Committee**

Committee Chair Dr. Fielding presented the report.

**BACHELOR OF EDUCATION: SECONDARY TEACHER EDUCATION**

The Admissions Committee recommended for approval a revised calendar entry on admission requirements for applicants to the Bachelor of Education program. The circulated report outlined a number of editorial changes and a reduction in the number of subject areas that required a second area of specialization for admission.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Dr. Fielding} \\
\text{Dr. Windsor-Liscombe}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{That Senate approve the changes in admission requirements for applicants to the Bachelor of Education program, effective for admission to the 2011 Winter Session and thereafter.}
\]
Admissions Committee, continued

AMENDMENT

Dr. Fielding noted the following correction to the proposal:

p. 5, c. 1: Remove the “C” (Concentration) designation for Career Preparation (CHEF Education).

The assembly accepted the amendment by unanimous consent.

The motion to approve the amended changes was put and carried.

Agenda Committee

Committee Chair Dean Bobinski presented the report.

SENATE MEETING START TIME

The following is an excerpt from the Committee’s report:

As Senate is aware, the Agenda Committee recently directed the Secretary to canvas members of Senate for their opinion on moving the regular meeting time of Senate from 7:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. In the course of that review, the Committee noted that the current rule for the timing and location of Senate meetings is very prescriptive. The Committee is of the opinion that consistency in meeting times is advantageous for scheduling but that the setting of time and place in the formal
rules of Senate is unnecessary.

Dean Bobinski  
Mr. Hajian

That the Rules and Procedures of the Vancouver Senate be amended to adjust Section 16 (a) as follows:

The Senate shall schedule nine (9) regular Wednesday evening meetings each academic session, from September through May. Such meetings shall normally be convened in room 102, George F Curtis Building, and called to order at 7 pm unless another location, day, or time is determined by the Agenda Committee for a specific meeting, and at least seven (7) days notice of such a change is given to Senators.

The Senate shall be scheduled to meet monthly from September through May at a location, date, and time as specified by the Agenda Committee before the start of each Academic Year. Should a change be made to the location, date, or time specified for any meeting, at least seven (7) days’ notice of such a change shall be given to Senators.

DISCUSSION

Dr. Hall noted that seven days’ notice of a meeting change might not be sufficient and might therefore affect meeting attendance. Dean Bobinski noted her appreciation for this comment, noted that this provision existed in the current Rules, and added that email would likely be used to notify Senators as quickly as possible so that they might adjust their schedules.

Dr. Windsor-Liscombe expressed hope that a specific space for Senate and Board of Governors’ meetings would be identified in the new Alumni Centre in the University Town precinct.

The motion was put and carried by the required two-thirds majority.
The following is an excerpt from the Committee’s report:

The Agenda Committee is committed to maintaining the established pattern of Wednesday evening meetings; however, based upon the consultation referenced above, a clear majority of Senators would prefer an earlier start (and thus end) time for meetings.

Dean Bobinski
Dr. Marshall

That the Senate endorse moving the start time for regular meetings of Senate from 7:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., effective with the regular meeting of Senate in September 2011.

Carried.

EMAIL CONSIDERATION OF ROUTINE SENATE BUSINESS

The following is an excerpt from the Committee’s report:

At present, the Senate must meet in person to conduct any business. With the cancellation of monthly Senate meetings on occasion due to lack of sufficient business, this presents a problem for routine but time sensitive matters that are then unduly delayed. The Agenda Committee is of the opinion that for some routine matters, approval by email – provided no concerns are raised by any Senators within a reasonable period of time – should be adopted. The Committee is also cognizant that on occasion, extraordinary matters arise between meetings of the Senate that would benefit from expedited approval should Senators not consider them controversial.

As such, the Committee recommends that the Senate amend its rules to allow for email consideration of matters in these circumstances. The proposed new rule is as follows:

23: In the event of a regularly scheduled Senate meeting being cancelled, or if an extraordinary need for Senate approval exists between regularly scheduled meetings, the Agenda Committee may elect to have business it considers to be routine but time-sensitive to be considered via email under the procedures set out in this section.

a. The motion in question shall be sent via email by the Secretary to every Senator.

b. The email sent under Section 23 (a) shall specify the text of the motion to be considered for approval and include any necessary supporting documentation.
c. A matter sent out via email is approved if no objections are sent to the Secretary within seven (7) days of the email being sent.
d. If an objection is raised by any Senator under Section 23 (c) the matter is not approved and shall be considered at the next meeting of the Senate as a normal item of business but shall not be considered a motion to reconsider the question or a renewal of the motion.
e. A motion approved under this section shall be reported by the Secretary at the next meeting of Senate under Reports from the Registrar.
f. All Senators shall specify one or more email address(es) for the purposes of email consideration of matters and shall apprise the Secretary of any changes to those addresses in a timely manner.
g. A change to or suspension of the Rules and Procedures of the Vancouver Senate shall not be considered under this section.

Dean Bobinski and Mr. McNulty moved:

That the Rules and Procedures of the Vancouver Senate be amended to include the new Section 23 as set out above; and

That the Rules and Procedures of the Vancouver Senate be renumbered accordingly for all sections that follow and any references thereto.

DISCUSSION

There was some discussion about a matter being approved if no Senator raised an objection within the prescribed time period. Dr. Anstee expressed a preference for language that would require an explicit approval. Dr. Harrison expressed support for the rule as written, stating that it was intended to be used for routine business only. Dr. Yaworsky also spoke in favour of the rule as written, pointing out that a similar rule had been in place for the Council of Senates for several years and that it had been working well.

Dr. McKee asked about the difference between a negative vote and an objection. Mr. Eaton explained that, should an objection be raised, the matter would come before Senate at a meeting for a vote.
Mr. Dew asked about retroactive consideration of a matter approved by email. Mr. Eaton explained that, like any other matter approved by Senate, it could be reconsidered by Senate under the applicable rules.

**AMENDMENT BY CONSENT**

In response to questions raised by Student Senators, the assembly directed the Secretariat to change the rule to specify that, if the matter under consideration by email was not in camera, it would be posted on the Senate website in addition to being forwarded to Senators by email.

The motion to approve the amendment of the Rules, as further amended, was put and carried.

**Curriculum Committee**

See also ‘Appendix A: Curriculum Summary.’

Committee Chair Dr. Marshall presented the report.

Dr. Marshall  
Dr. Loewen  

\{ That the new courses brought forward by the Faculty of Graduate Studies (Applied Science, Arts, College for Interdisciplinary Studies, Education, Land & Food Systems, Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences) be approved. \}

Carried.
Nominating Committee

Committee Chair Dr. Windsor-Liscombe presented the reports.

COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS AND COMPOSITION CHANGES

Dr. Windsor-Liscombe
Dr. Marshall

That Senate appoint Dr. Kenneth Baimbridge to serve on the President’s Advisory Committee on the Extension of Appointment, Vice-President Research & International; and

That Senate appoint Dr. Santokh Singh to serve on the President’s Advisory Committee on the Selection of an Associate Vice-President Research & International; and

That the composition of the Vancouver Senate Library Committee be adjusted to replace the “Vice-Provost, Information Technology” with the “Vice-Provost and Associate Vice-President, Academic Resources” as an ex-officio, voting member; and

That Senate approve the following revision to the membership of Committees of Senate:

Senate Curriculum Committee: Ms. Robyn Sneath to replace Mr. Angus Cheung

Senate Committee on Appeals on Academic Standing: Mr. Matt Murray to replace Mr. Angus Cheung

Senate Library Committee: Mr. Gavin Dew to fill vacancy.

Carried.
Student Awards Committee

Committee Chair Dr. Stelck presented the report.

NEW AWARDS

See also ‘Appendix B: New Awards.’

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Dr. Stelck} & \quad \text{Mr. Mertens} \\
& \quad \{ \text{That Senate accept the awards as listed and forward them to the Board of Governors for approval and that letters of thanks be sent to the donors.} \}
\end{align*}
\]

DISCUSSION

Dr. Vessey expressed concern about a lack of meaning in the second sentence in the award terms for the Jean Barman Prize in Aboriginal Education. After some discussion, the assembly agreed to vote on the award terms as listed, with the understanding that the Secretary would refer the terms for this award to the Development Office for potential revision.

Carried.

Tributes Committee

Committee Chair Dr. Thorne presented the report.

MEMORIAL MINUTE FOR MS. BEVERLY FIELD

The following memorial minute for a former Senator who had passed away had been circulated:
Ms. Beverly Field

After completing her studies at UBC, Beverly Field taught in the Department of Chemistry for six years. Her life-long passion for education, however, truly came to fruition in her exceptional service to the University as president of the Alumni Association and as a member of both the Senate and the Board of Governors.

Over two terms on the Senate from 1972 to 1978, she was instrumental in persuading the provincial government to amend the University Act to increase student representation in the Senate. She served on the Senate Curriculum Committee and also volunteered with other campus functions such as the Cecil H. and Ida Green Visiting Professorships Program and the Walter Koerner Master Teaching Awards.

Beyond UBC, there are countless beneficiaries of her 71 years of public service. She had a long-standing commitment with the Junior League of Vancouver, an organization she initially became involved with in 1952. Other organizations to have benefited from her enthusiastic engagement include the Vancouver Foundation, the Vancouver Art Gallery, where she was a docent under the direction of Doris Shadbolt, the Vancouver Aquarium, the YWCA, Vancouver Museum, the United Way, and the BC Medical Foundation Board. Beverly was also a life member of the University Women’s Club, West Vancouver. Her many awards and recognitions include the Elsie Armstrong Award for Volunteerism in 1985, the United Way Volunteer Recognition Award in 1990, and Queen’s Golden Jubilee Medal for service in 2002.

Dr. Thorne  
Dr. Anstee

{ That Senate approve the Memorial Minute for Ms. Beverly Field, that it be entered into the Minutes of Senate and a copy be sent to the family of the deceased. }  
Carried.

From the Faculty of Medicine

Dr. Baimbridge presented the report in the absence of Dean Stuart.

CHANGE IN VOTING MEMBERSHIP IN THE FACULTY OF MEDICINE

The Faculty of Medicine had circulated a proposal to add Partner Faculty Members at the ranks of Professor, Associate Professor, and Assistant Professor to the voting membership
of the Faculty.

Dr. Baimbridge  Dr. Cairns  That Senate approve the proposed changes to the Voting Membership of the Faculty of Medicine.  Carried.

Motion to Extend Meeting Time

Mr. Gorman  Mr. Mertens  That the meeting end time be extended to not later than 9:45 p.m.  Carried.

Tributes Committee - in camera

HONORARY DEGREES

In closed session, the Senate considered recommendations from the Tributes Committee with respect to the granting of honorary degrees in 2011.

Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned. The following regular meeting of the Senate was scheduled for Wednesday, December 15, 2010 at 7:00 p.m.
APPENDIX A: CURRICULUM SUMMARY

Graduate Studies

NEW COURSES

APPLIED SCIENCE

NURS 548 (3)
NURS 549 (3)
NURS 556 (3)

ARTS

POLI 571 (3)

COLLEGE FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES

IAR 516 (3)

EDUCATION

EDCP 571 (3)
EDST 527 (3)
LLED 577 (3)

LAND & FOOD SYSTEMS

FOOD 529 (3)
SOIL 520 (3)

MEDICINE

RHSC 585 (3)
RSPT 511 (2)
RSPT 521 (2)
RSPT 531 (2)

PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

PHAR 515 (3)
APPENDIX B: NEW AWARDS

Jean BARMAN Prize in Aboriginal Education: Prizes totalling $1,000 are offered to students of Aboriginal ancestry pursuing a graduate or teacher education degree based on a project related to aboriginal history. The award is named in honour of Dr. Jean Barman, an outstanding professor whose life is dedicated to historical implications on society. The award is made on the recommendation of the Department of Educational Studies and, in the case of graduate students, in consultation with the Faculty of Graduate Studies. (First available in the 2010W Academic Session)

FILM Production Program 40th Anniversary Scholarship: A $1,000 scholarship has been endowed by the Film Production Alumni Association (FPAA) in partnership with the Faculty of Arts Office of the Dean in celebration of the 40th Anniversary of the Film Production Program in the Department of Theatre and Film. The award is made to a student in film pursuing a B.F.A. degree or a Diploma in Film Production and is made on the recommendation of the UBC Film Production Program. (First available in the 2011W Academic Session)

Kerry and Sarah MORRISSEY (Telford) Memorial Award: Awards totalling $1,000 have been endowed to offset overseas travel expenses for medical residents in the Faculty of Medicine Global Health program. The program requires residents to pursue an overseas placement as a physician for several months as part of their training. The award is named in memory of Dr. Kerry Telford Morrissey and her daughter Sarah Morrissey, who died in a float plane accident near Saturna Island, BC in 2009. The awards are made on the recommendation of the Faculty of Medicine. (First available in the 2011W Academic Session)

Agnes PHILIPPS Scholarship in Education: Scholarships totalling $2,000 have been endowed by the Estate of Agnes Philipps for students in the Faculty of Education who have completed or are enrolled in the Visual and Performing Arts in Education Diploma program and have identified Visual Art as a teaching area. Recommendations are made by the Faculty of Education. (First available in the 2011W Academic Session)

Dr. Gary RANDHAWA Memorial Scholarship in Medicine: Scholarships totalling $1,000 have been endowed by the B.C. Medical Association and the B.C. Medical Foundation for students in any year who are enrolled in the Southern Medical Program at The University of British Columbia Okanagan Campus. Preference will be given to students with a record of community service in health care. The awards are established in honour of Dr. Gary Randhawa and his lifelong work and vision in furthering health education and health promotion in local communities. The awards are made on the recommendation of the Faculty of Medicine (First available in the 2011W Academic Session)

Nis SCHMIDT History of Surgery Prize: Two prizes totalling $1,000 have been endowed by Dr. Nis Schmidt to stimulate interest and documentation in the history of surgery. Prizes will be awarded to students with a publishable grade paper on a topic of historical surgical interest based on a recommendation from the Department of Surgery. Papers will
be prepared for oral presentation at the annual Dr. Nis Schmidt Surgical update in December or at the Surgical History Club. The paper can address a topic of local, national or international interest in any field of surgery - biographical, developmental, clinical, technical, educational and ethical and is open to undergraduate medical students and residents in any surgical discipline. Recommendation is made by the Department of Surgery. (First available in the 2010W Academic Session)

**Andrew SEAL Award in the Arts and Humanities in Medicine:** Awards totalling $1,000 have been endowed to provide one or more awards to fourth year graduating students in the MD Program who have made significant contributions during their undergraduate medical training in the arts and humanities. Recommendation is made in the spring by the Faculty. (First award available in the 2011/12 academic session)

**PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED AWARDS WITH CHANGES IN TERMS OR FUNDING SOURCE:**

**Roman BABICKI Fellowship:** Two $20,000 fellowships have been endowed by Roman M. Babicki. The awards are to support doctoral candidates in any academic department, provided that their supervisor has a primary appointment in the Faculty of Medicine. Candidates must be undertaking cancer research. No individual or project will receive support from this fund for more than two years. The awards are made on the recommendation of the Faculty of Medicine in consultation with the Faculty of Graduate Studies.

**Reason for change:** removed “consecutive” from the description as per the donor’s request. He does not want any student to receive fellowship funding for more than two years regardless of whether the years are consecutive.

**Jessie MACCARTHY Scholarship in Nursing** - A scholarship of $700 has been made available by family, friends, and colleagues of the late Jessie MacCarthy, to recognize her leadership in community health nursing, epidemiology, nursing education and research. The award is made on the recommendation of the School of Nursing to a student enrolled in the B.S.N. program. The candidate will combine academic ability with a satisfactory employment record. In making the award, financial need is a consideration. (Revision to commence in the 2012/13 academic year)

**Reason for change:** The RN program is being discontinued by the 2011/12 academic year and as such the School of Nursing would not be able to assign the scholarship in 12W.

**Marion RICKER Memorial Scholarship in Nursing** - A scholarship of $450 has been endowed by Dr. W. E. Ricker in memory of his wife Marion T. Ricker, R.N., B.A.Sc. 1931. The award is made on the recommendation of the Director of the School of Nursing, to a student who is entering or continuing a program leading to the degree of B.S.N. (Revision to commence in the 2012/13 academic year)

**Reason for change:** The RN program is being discontinued by the 2011/12 academic year and as such the School of Nursing would not be able to assign the scholarship in 12W.

**Dr. and Mrs. S. SCHAFFER Memorial Scholarship:** A $1,000 scholarship is given to a postgraduate or undergraduate student attending the Medical School of the University of
British Columbia. Nomination of this scholar is on the recommendation of the Faculty of Medicine.

Reason for change: Removed the requirement to consult Dr. Dwight Irving Peretz during the nomination process as he is now retired from the Faculty of Medicine and UBC. This award was last given out in 2008 and the wording of the description has made it impossible to assign it.

Maxine SEVACK Memorial Scholarship: A scholarship of $1,000 has been endowed by the Maxine Sevack Memorial Foundation. Maxine Sevack was a student in the Department of Creative Writing. Following graduation, she established herself as a successful magazine journalist. She died in a plane crash in Guatemala in her 28th year. The award is made on the recommendation of the Department of Creative Writing to a student who has completed at least one year of study and shows promise in writing. In making the award, the candidate's financial circumstances may be considered. (First available in the 2011W Academic Session)

Reason for change: Donor has increased funding from $1,000/year to a $30,000 endowment so that the scholarship is given out in perpetuity.